# A STABILITY RESULT OF A PARAMETRIZED MINIMUM PROBLEM 

## M. BOGDAN


#### Abstract

This paper considers variational inequalities with pseudomonotone maps depending on a parameter and studies the behaviour of their solutions. The main result gives sufficient conditions for the stability of the initial minimum problem under small perturbation of the parameter.


## 1. Introduction

The parametrization is a welcome concept for almost every minimizing problem with solution and for the behaviour under perturbation.

The aim of this paper is to apply the result obtained in [5] for a particular type of parametric variational inequalities.

A lot of problems are reduced to looking for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \{I(u): u \in C\} \tag{M}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a nonempty subset of a real Banach space $X$ and $I: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given.
Some papers deal with the existence of the solution or with their regularity. Other papers study the "path" of the solution function provided by a family of parametrized problems, i.e. if it is single-valued, multivalued, continuous or not and so on.

For our purpose, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain and the minimizing problem in discussion
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$(M)_{0}$

$$
\min \left\{I(u)=\int_{\Omega} f(t, \nabla u(t)) d t: u \in v_{0}+X\right\}
$$

where $X=H_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega), 1<q<+\infty, v_{0} \in X$ given with $I\left(v_{0}\right)<+\infty$, the integrand $f: \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

For $I$ differentiable it is known that a (local) solution $u_{0}$ of $(M)_{0}$ has to satisfy the equilibrum equation $I^{\prime}(u)=0$.

For the real Banach space $X, X^{*}$ denotes the dual space and $\langle x, u\rangle$ the duality pairing between $x \in X$ and $u \in X^{*}$. If the admissible set $C$ is a closed convex subset of $X$ then $u_{0}$ has to satisfy the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
<I^{\prime}(u), v-u>\geq 0, \quad \text { for each } v \in C . \tag{VI}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parametric form for the problem (VI) requires the following data. Let $P$ be a topological space - the set of parameters, $K: P \rightarrow 2^{X}$ and $J: P \times X \rightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ be given set-valued maps so that $K(p) \subseteq \operatorname{Dom} J(p, \cdot)$ for each $p \in P$, where $\operatorname{Dom} J(p, \cdot)$ denotes the domain of the $\operatorname{map} J(p, \cdot): X \rightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$, i.e. the set $\{u \in X \mid J(p, u) \neq \emptyset\}$.

For a given $p \in P$ we consider the following problem: find an element $u_{p} \in$ $K(p)$ and $x \in J\left(p, u_{p}\right)$ so that
$(V I P)_{p} \quad<x, v-u_{p}>\geq 0, \quad$ for each $u \in K(p)$.

For a fixed $p_{0} \in P$ suppose that $u_{0} \in K\left(p_{0}\right)$ is the unique solution for $(V I P)_{p_{0}}$.

Then, the problem $(V I P)_{p_{0}}$ is called stable under perturbations if there exist a neighborhood $U_{0}$ of $p_{0}$ and a mapping $\bar{u}: U_{0} \rightarrow X$ so that:
i) $\bar{u}(p)$ is a solution for $(V I P)_{p}$, for any $p \in U_{0}$;
ii) $\bar{u}\left(p_{0}\right)=u_{0}$;
iii) $\bar{u}$ is continuous at $p_{0}$.

Section 3 deals with sufficient conditions for the stability under perturbations of the initial problem $(M)_{p_{0}}$.

## 2. Definitions and auxiliary results

Consider $\alpha:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ a nondecreasing function.
The map $I: P \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called uniformly $\alpha$-pseudoconvex on $U \subseteq P$, if for each $p \in U$ and $u, v \in X, u \neq v$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$ one has

$$
<I^{\prime}(p, u), v-u>\geq 0 \Rightarrow I(p, v) \leq I(p, v+s(u-v))+s(1-s) \alpha(\|v-u\|)\|v-u\|
$$

where $I^{\prime}(p, u)$ denotes the gradient of $I(p, \cdot)$ at the point $u$.
The map $J: P \times X \rightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ is called uniformly $\alpha$-pseudomonotone on $U \subseteq P$, if for each $p \in U$ and $u, v \in X, u \neq v, x \in J(p, u), y \in J(p, v)$ one has

$$
<x, v-u>\geq 0 \Rightarrow<y, v-u>\geq \alpha(\|v-u\|) \cdot\|v-u\| .
$$

An important notion for some parametric problems is consistency. For the sequential case one can consult Grave's Theorem [2, pg. 95] while for the continuous case see [1], [5].

Definition 1. Let $p_{0} \in P, u_{0} \in K\left(p_{0}\right)$ and $\gamma>1$ be fixed. The map $J: P \times X \rightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ is called consistent in $p$ at $\left(p_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ if for each $0<r \leq 1$, there exist a neighborhood $U_{r}$ of $p_{0}$ and a function $\beta: U_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous at $p_{0}$ with $\beta\left(p_{0}\right)=0$ so that, for every $p \in U_{r}$, there exist $u_{p} \in K(p)$ and $x \in J\left(p, u_{p}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{p}-u_{0}\right\| \leq \beta(p)
$$

and

$$
<x, v-u_{p}>+\beta(p) \cdot\left\|v-u_{p}\right\| \geq 0
$$

for all $v \in K(p)$ with $r<\left\|v-u_{p}\right\| \leq \gamma$.
Note that for $p=p_{0}, u_{p_{0}}$ is $u_{0}$.
The mapping $A: X \rightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ is said to be upper semicontinuous (usc) at $u_{0} \in X$ if, for any open set $V$ containing $A\left(u_{0}\right)$, there exist a neighborhood $\Delta$ of $u_{0}$ so that $A(\Delta) \subset V$.
Theorem 1. ([5]) Let $P$ be a topological space, $X$ be a real Banach space, $K: P \rightarrow 2^{X}$ be with values closed convex sets in $X$ and $J: P \times X \rightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ be a set valued map. Let $p_{0} \in P$ and $u_{0} \in K\left(p_{0}\right)$ be fixed. Suppose that:
i) $u_{0}$ is a solution of $(V I P)_{p_{0}}$;
ii) $J$ is consistent in $p$ at $\left(p_{0}, u_{0}\right)$;
iii) there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $p_{0}$ so that the mappings $J(p, \cdot)$ are uniformly $\alpha$-pseudomonotone and $J(p, \cdot)$ is usc from the line segments in $X$ to $X^{*}$ for each $p \in U$;
iv) for each $p, u$ the set $J(p, u)$ is compact.

Then, the problem $(V I P)_{p_{0}}$ is stable under perturbations.

## 3. Main Result

In this section we are going to apply Theorem 1 to the solutions of $(M)_{p}$ in particular
$(M)_{p}$

$$
\min \{I(p, u): u \in K(p)\}
$$

where the functionals involving the parameter are given by

$$
I(p, u)=\int_{\Omega} f_{p}(t, \nabla u(t)) d t
$$

Now, for $p_{0} \in P$ fixed suppose that $u_{0} \in K\left(p_{0}\right)$ is the unique solution of $(M)_{p_{0}}$.

In this case, the problem $(M)_{p_{0}}$ is called stable under perturbations if there exist a neighborhood $U_{0}$ of $p_{0}$ and a mapping $\bar{u}: U_{0} \rightarrow X$ so that:
i) $\bar{u}(p)$ is a solution for $(M)_{p}$, for any $p \in U_{0}$;
ii) $\bar{u}\left(p_{0}\right)=u_{0}$;
iii) $\bar{u}$ is continuous at $p_{0}$.

Let $P$ be a topological space, let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space and $Y$ a normed space. Let $C \subseteq X$ and $D \subseteq Y$ be nonempty closed convex sets and consider the mappings $a: P \rightarrow Y, L: P \rightarrow(X, Y)^{*}$ continuous, where $(X, Y)^{*}$ denotes the space of all linear, continuous mappings defined on $X$ with values in $Y$.

The admissible set of the problem $(M)_{p}$ is considered the set

$$
K(p)=\{u \in C \mid a(p)+L(p)(u) \in D\} .
$$

For a $p \in P$ the admissible set $K(p)$ is called regular if

$$
0 \in \operatorname{int}\{a(p)+L(p)(u)-y: u \in C, y \in D\}
$$

Lemma 1. ([7]) Suppose that $K(p)$ is regular and $u_{0} \in K\left(p_{0}\right)$. Then, for each $d>0$, there exists a neighborhood $U_{d}$ of $p_{0}$ such that $K(p) \cap B\left(u_{0} ; d\right) \neq \emptyset$ for each $p \in U_{d}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $c_{d}>0$ such that, for every $p_{1}, p_{2} \in U_{d}$ one has

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(u, K\left(p_{2}\right) \cap B\left(u_{0} ; d\right)\right) \leq c_{d}\left[\left\|L\left(p_{1}\right)-L\left(p_{2}\right)\right\|+\left\|a\left(p_{1}\right)-a\left(p_{2}\right)\right\|\right]
$$

for each $u \in K\left(p_{1}\right) \cap B\left(u_{0} ; d\right)$.
Now, considering an initial problem and a small displacement of the data we state the stability under perturbation.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $K\left(p_{0}\right)$ is regular and that:
i) $u_{0}$ is a solution of $(M)_{p_{0}}$;
ii) the map $(p, u) \longmapsto I^{\prime}(p, u)$ is weakly continuous at $\left(p_{0}, u_{0}\right)$;
iii) there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $p_{0}$ such that for each $p \in U, t \in \Omega$, $\frac{\partial f_{p}}{\partial \nabla u}(t, \cdot)$ is continuous from $X=H^{1, q}(\Omega)$ to the weak* topology of $X^{*}$ and $f_{p}(t, \cdot)$ are strictly convex on $U$;
iv) for each $p \in U, t \in \Omega, \frac{\partial f_{p}}{\partial \nabla u}(t, \cdot)$ is locally bounded around $u_{0}$.

Then, the problem $(M)_{p_{0}}$ is stable under perturbations.

Proof. Since $u_{0}$ is a minimum point of the functional $I\left(p_{0}, \cdot\right)$ on the set $K\left(p_{0}\right)$ we have

$$
<I^{\prime}\left(p_{0}, u_{0}\right), u-u_{0}>\geq 0, \quad \text { for each } u \in K\left(p_{0}\right)
$$

Define $J: P \times X \rightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ by $J(p, u)=\left\{I^{\prime}(p, u)\right\}$, for each $p \in P$ and $u \in X$.
Let $U_{1}$ be the neighborhood of $p_{0}$, provided by Lemma 1 . For each $p \in U_{1}$ let $u_{p} \in K(p) \cap B\left(u_{0} ; 1\right)$ be the element such that

$$
\left\|u_{p}-u_{0}\right\| \leq c_{1}\left[\left\|L(p)-L\left(p_{0}\right)\right\|+\left\|a(p)-a\left(p_{0}\right)\right\|\right]
$$

Put $x=I^{\prime}\left(p, u_{p}\right)$ (by Definition 1) and take the neighborhood $U_{\gamma}$ and the constant $c_{\gamma}$ given also by Lemma 1. Denote $c:=\max \left\{c_{1}, c_{\gamma}\right\}$ and $U_{0}:=U_{1} \cap U_{\gamma}$. For $v \in K(p)$ with $r<\left\|v-u_{p}\right\| \leq \gamma$ define the control function

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta(p)=\max \left\{-2 \frac{1}{\left\|v-u_{0}\right\|+\left\|u_{p}-u_{0}\right\|} \cdot<I^{\prime}\left(p, u_{p}\right)-I^{\prime}\left(p_{0}, u_{0}\right), v-u_{0}>,\right. \\
\left.\sqrt{c\left[\left\|L(p)-L\left(p_{0}\right)\right\|+\left\|a(p)-a\left(p_{0}\right)\right\|\right]}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From iv) $I^{\prime}\left(p, u_{p}\right)$ is also locally bounded. Let $C_{v}>0$ for which $\left\|I^{\prime}\left(p, u_{p}\right)\right\| \leq$ $C_{v}$.

Choose $U_{r} \subset U_{0}$ a neighborhood of $p_{0}$ such that the restriction of the control function to $U_{r}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta(p) \leq 1, \text { for each } p \in U_{r} \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|v-u_{0}\right\|-\beta(p)\left(C_{v}+3\left\|I^{\prime}\left(p_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right\|+\frac{3}{2} \beta(p)\right) \geq 0, \text { for each } p \in U_{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\left\|u_{p}-u_{0}\right\| \leq \beta^{2}(p) \leq \beta(p)
$$

By $i i) \beta$ is continuous at $p_{0}$ and $\beta\left(p_{0}\right)=0$.
Now, let $v \in K(p)$ for which $r<\left\|v-u_{p}\right\| \leq \gamma$. We have

$$
\left\|v-u_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|v-u_{p}\right\|+\left\|u_{p}-u_{0}\right\| \leq \gamma+1
$$

Again by Lemma 1 there exists $v_{0} \in K\left(p_{0}\right) \cap B\left(u_{0} ; \gamma+1\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|v-v_{0}\right\| \leq \beta^{2}(p)
$$

The relationship we must verify is

$$
<I^{\prime}\left(p, u_{p}\right), v-u_{p}>+\beta(p) \cdot\left\|v-u_{p}\right\| \geq 0 .
$$

For simplicity denote by $I_{p}^{\prime}:=I^{\prime}\left(p, u_{p}\right)$ and $I_{0}^{\prime}:=I^{\prime}\left(p_{0}, u_{0}\right)$. We will use

$$
<I_{0}^{\prime}, v_{0}-u_{0}>\geq 0
$$

due to the fact that $v_{0} \in K\left(p_{0}\right)$.
So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <I_{p}^{\prime}, v-u_{p}>+\beta(p) \cdot\left\|v-u_{p}\right\|= \\
= & <I_{p}^{\prime}-I_{0}^{\prime}, v-u_{p}>+<I_{0}^{\prime}, v-u_{p}>+\beta(p)\left\|v-u_{p}\right\|= \\
= & <I_{p}^{\prime}-I_{0}^{\prime}, v-u_{0}>+<I_{p}^{\prime}-I_{0}^{\prime}, u_{0}-u_{p}>+ \\
& +<I_{0}^{\prime}, v-v_{0}>+<I_{0}^{\prime}, v_{0}-u_{0}>+<I_{0}^{\prime}, u_{0}-u_{p}>+\beta(p)\left\|v-u_{p}\right\| \geq \\
\geq \quad & -\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|v-u_{0}\right\|+\left\|u_{0}-u_{p}\right\|\right) \cdot \beta(p)-\left\|u_{0}-u_{p}\right\| \cdot\left\|I_{p}^{\prime}-I_{0}^{\prime}\right\|+ \\
+ & <I_{0}^{\prime}, v-v_{0}>+<I_{0}^{\prime}, u_{0}-u_{p}>+\beta(p)\left(\left\|v-u_{0}\right\|-\left\|u_{0}-u_{p}\right\|\right) \geq \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\left\|v-u_{0}\right\| \cdot \beta(p)-\left\|u_{0}-u_{p}\right\| \cdot\left\|I_{p}^{\prime}-I_{0}^{\prime}\right\|-\left\|v-v_{0}\right\| \cdot\left\|I_{0}^{\prime}\right\|- \\
& -\left\|u_{0}-u_{p}\right\| \cdot\left\|I_{0}^{\prime}\right\|-\frac{3}{2} \beta(p)\left\|u_{0}-u_{p}\right\|= \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|v-u_{0}\right\| \cdot \beta(p)-\left\|u_{0}-u_{p}\right\|\left(\left\|I_{p}^{\prime}-I_{0}^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|I_{0}^{\prime}\right\|+\frac{3}{2} \beta(p)\right)- \\
& -\beta^{2}(p) \cdot\left\|I_{0}^{\prime}\right\| \geq \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\left\|v-u_{0}\right\| \cdot \beta(p)-\beta^{2}(p)\left(C_{v}+3\left\|I_{0}^{\prime}\right\|+\frac{3}{2} \beta(p)\right)= \\
= & \beta(p)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|v-u_{0}\right\|-\beta(p)\left(C_{v}+3\left\|I_{0}^{\prime}\right\|+\frac{3}{2} \beta(p)\right)\right] \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore $J \equiv I^{\prime}$ is consistent in $p$ at $\left(p_{0}, u_{0}\right)$.
By iii) $I(p, \cdot)$ is strictly convex for each $p \in U$ so that $I(p, \cdot)$ is uniformly $\alpha$-pseudoconvex, thus $J(p, \cdot)=I^{\prime}(p, \cdot)$ are uniformly $\alpha$-pseudomonotone (see [5], [3]). The conclusion follows by Theorem 1.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain with Lipshitz frontier, and $f_{p}(t, \nabla u(t))=$ $g(t, p) \cdot h(t, \nabla u(t))$, for each $p \in P$ and each $t \in \Omega$.

Proposition 1. If $h \in C^{1}$ and $g(t, \cdot)$ is continuous at $p_{0}$ for each $t \in \Omega$, then the mapping $(p, u) \longmapsto I^{\prime}(p, u)$ is weakly continuous at $\left(p_{0}, u_{0}\right)$.

Proof. We estimate $\left|I_{p}^{\prime}(u)(v)-I_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)(v)\right| \leq$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|g(t, p) \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial \nabla u}(t, \nabla u(t))-g\left(t, p_{0}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial \nabla u}\left(t, \nabla u_{0}(t)\right)\right| \cdot|\nabla v| d t \leq \\
\leq & \int_{\Omega}\left|g(t, p)-g\left(t, p_{0}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\frac{\partial h}{\partial \nabla u}(t, \nabla u(t))\right| \cdot|\nabla v| d t+ \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left|g\left(t, p_{0}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\frac{\partial h}{\partial \nabla u}(t, \nabla u(t))-\frac{\partial h}{\partial \nabla u}\left(t, \nabla u_{0}(t)\right)\right| \cdot|\nabla v| d t \leq \\
\leq & \|v\|_{X} \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|g(t, p)-g\left(t, p_{0}\right)\right|^{q^{\prime}} \cdot\left|\frac{\partial h}{\partial \nabla u}\left(t, \nabla u_{0}(t)\right)\right|^{q^{\prime}} d t\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}+ \\
& +\|v\|_{X} \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|g\left(t, p_{0}\right)\right|^{q^{\prime}} \cdot\left|\frac{\partial h}{\partial \nabla u}(t, \nabla u(t))-\frac{\partial h}{\partial \nabla u}\left(t, \nabla u_{0}(t)\right)\right|^{q^{\prime}} d t\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

once that $p \rightarrow p_{0}$ and $u \rightarrow u_{0}$, for each $v \in X$. Here $q^{\prime}$ is the dual of $q$, i.e $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$.
For the existence and unicity of the solution problem $(M)_{p}$ we refer to $[4, \mathrm{pg}$. 87].

Proposition 2. If $g$ and $h$ satisfy the following conditions:
i) $g(t, p)>0$ and $h(t, \cdot)$ is strictly convex for each $t \in \Omega$;
ii) there exists $c>0$ such that $h(t, \xi) \geq c\left(|\xi|^{q}-1\right)$ for each $(t, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then the problem $(M)_{p}$ has a unique solution in $H^{1, q}(\Omega)$ for each $p \in P$.

Example 1. Let $X=H^{1}(\Omega)$ with $\Omega=(0,1), 0<p_{0}<1$ fixed, $P=\left[p_{0}, 1\right)$ the set of parameters, and the initial problem
$(M)_{p_{0}}$

$$
\min \left\{I\left(p_{0}, u\right): u \in C\right\}
$$

where $I\left(p_{0}, u\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(t+p_{0}\right) \cdot u^{\prime 2}(t) d t$ and $C=\left\{u \in X: u^{\prime}\left(p_{0}\right)=1, u(1)=0\right\}$. The solution $u_{0}$ is given by $u_{0}(t)=2 p_{0} \ln \left(t+p_{0}\right) / \ln \left(1+p_{0}\right)$.

The parametrized problem is
$(M)_{p}$

$$
\min \{I(p, u): u \in K(p)\},
$$

where $I(p, u)=\int_{0}^{1}(t+p) \cdot u^{\prime 2}(t) d t$ and $K(p)=\left\{u \in X: u^{\prime}(p)=1, u(1)=0\right\} .(M)_{p_{0}}$ is stable under perturbations and the solution function $\bar{u}$ can be obtained explicitly $\bar{u}(p)(t)=u_{p}(t)=2 p \ln (t+p) / \ln (1+p)$.

Remark 1. The following problem

$$
\min \left\{\int_{0}^{1} t \cdot u^{\prime 2}(t) d t: u^{\prime}(0)=1, u(1)=0\right\}
$$

has no solution in $X=H^{1,1}(\Omega)$ because $h(t, \xi)=t \cdot \xi^{2}$ does not satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 2 namely the existence of $c>0$ ( the proof is similar to [4, pg. 56].
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