STUDIA UNIV. "BABEŞ-BOLYAI", MATHEMATICA, Volume  ${\bf L},$  Number 4, December 2005

# ON SOME INTEGRAL EQUATIONS WITH DEVIATING ARGUMENT

#### OLARU ION MARIAN

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to study the following functional equation with modified argument:

$$x(t)=g(t,hx(t),x(t),x(0))+\int\limits_{-\theta t}^{\theta t}K(t,s,x(s))ds,$$
 where  $\theta\in(0,1),t\in[-T,T],T>0.$ 

### 1. Introduction

Let (X,d) be a metric space and  $A:X\to X$  an operator. We shall use the following notations:

 $F_A := \{x \in X \mid Ax = x\}$  the fixed points set of A.  $I(A) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid A(Y) \subset Y\}$  the family of the nonempty invariant subsets of A.  $A^{n+1} = A \circ A^n, A^0 = 1_X, A^1 = A, n \in N.$ 

**Definition 1.1.** [4] An operator A is weakly Picard operator(WPO) if the sequence

 $(A^n x)_{n \in N}$ 

converges, for all  $x \in X$  and the limit(which depend on x) is a fixed point of A. **Definition 1.2.** [4],[1] If the operator A is WPO and  $F_A = \{x^*\}$  then by definition A is Picard operator.

Key words and phrases. Picard operators, weakly Picard operators, functional-integral equations, fixed points, data dependence.

Received by the editors: 15.09.2005.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 34K05, 47H10.

**Definition 1.3.** [4] If A is WPO, then we consider the operator

$$A^{\infty}: X \to X, A^{\infty}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} A^n x.$$

We remark that  $A^{\infty}(X) = F_A$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [1] Let be A an WPO and c > 0. The operator A is c-WPO if  $d(x, A^{\infty}x) \leq d(x, Ax)$ .

We have the following characterization of the WPOs

**Theorem 1.1.** [4]Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $A : X \to X$  an operator. The operator A is WPO (c-WPO) if and only if there exists a partition of X,

$$X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$$

such that

 $\begin{aligned} &(a)X_{\lambda} \in I(A) \\ &(b)A \mid : X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda} is \ a \ Picard(c\text{-}Picard) \ operator, for \ all \ \lambda \in \Lambda. \end{aligned}$ 

For the class of c-WPOs we have the following data dependence result.

**Theorem 1.2.** [4] Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $A_i : X \to X, i = 1, 2$  an operator. We suppose that :

(i)the operator A<sub>i</sub> is c<sub>i</sub> - WPOi=1,2.
(ii)there exists η > o such that

$$d(A_1x, A_2x) \le \eta, (\forall)x \in X.$$

Then

$$H(F_{A_1}, F_{A_2}) \le \eta max\{c_1, c_2\}.$$

Here stands for Hausdorff-Pompeiu functional

We have

**Lemma 1.1.** [4],[1]  $Let(X, d, \leq)$  be an ordered metric space and  $A : X \to X$  an operator such that:

a)A is monotone increasing.
b)A is WPO.
Then the operator A<sup>∞</sup> is monotone increasing.

## 2. Main results

Data dependence for functional-integral equations was study in [2],[3],[4],[1].  $Let(X, \|\cdot\|)$  a Banach space and the space C([-T, T], X) endowed with the Bieleski norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\tau}$  defined by

$$||x||_{\tau} = \max_{t \in [-T,T]} ||x(t)|| e^{-\tau(t+T)}.$$

In[1] Viorica Muresan was study the following functional integral equation:

$$x(t) = g(t, h(x)(t), x(t), x(0)) + \int_{0}^{t} K(t, s, x(\theta s)) ds, t \in [0, b], \theta \in [0, 1]$$

by the weakly Picard operators technique.

We consider the following functional-integral equations with modified argument:

$$x(t) = g(t, hx(t), x(t), x(0)) + \int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} K(t, s, x(s)) ds,$$
(1)

where:

$$\begin{split} i)t &\in [-T,T], \, , T > 0. \\ ii)h \, : \, C([-T,T],X) \, \longrightarrow \, C([-T,T],X), g \, \in \, C([-T,T] \times X^3,X), K \, \in \, C([-T,T] \times [-T,T] \times X^2,X). \end{split}$$

We suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

 $(c_1)$  there exists l > 0 such that

$$||hx(t) - hy(t)|| \le l||x(t) - y(t)||,$$

for all  $x, y \in C([-T, T], X), t \in [-T, T].$ 

 $(c_2)$  There exists  $l_1 > 0, l_2 > 0$  such that

$$||g(t, u_1, v_1, w) - g(t, u_2, v_2, w)|| \le l_1 ||u_1 - u_2|| + l_2 ||v_1 - v_2||.$$

for all  $t \in [-T, T]$ ,  $u_i, v_i, w \in X$ , i = 1, 2. (c<sub>3</sub>) There exists  $l_3 > 0$  such that

$$||K(t,s,u) - K(t,s,u_1)|| \le l_3 ||u - u_1||,$$

for all  $t, s \in [-T, T], u, u_1 \in X$ .  $(c_4)l_1l + l_2 < 1$ .

 $(c_5)g(0,h(x)(0),x(0),x(0)) = x(0)$  for any  $x \in C([-T,T],X)$ .

Let  $A: C([-T,T],X) \longrightarrow C([-T,T],X)$  be defined by

$$Ax(t) = g(t, hx(t), x(t), x(0)) + \int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} K(t, s, x(s)) ds$$
(2)

Let  $\lambda \in X$  and  $X_{\lambda} = \{x \in C([-T,T],X) \mid x(0) = \lambda\}$ . Then  $C([-T,T],X) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in X} X_{\lambda}$  is a partition of C([-T,T],X). From  $c_5$  we have that  $X_{\lambda} \in I(A)$ .

For studding of data dependence we consider the following equations

$$x(t) = g_1(t, hx(t), x(t), x(0)) + \int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} K_1(t, s, x(s)) ds$$
(3)

$$x(t) = g_2(t, hx(t), x(t), x(0)) + \int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} K_2(t, s, x(s)) ds$$
(4)

**Theorem 2.1.** We consider the equation (1) under following conditions:

(i) The conditions  $c_1 - c_5$  are satisfied.

(ii) The operators  $h(\cdot), g(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot), K(t, s, \cdot, \cdot)$  are monotone increasing.

(iii) There exists  $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$  such that

 $||g_1(t, u, v, w) - g_2(t, u, v, w)|| < \eta_1,$ 

$$||K_1(t,s,u) - K_2(t,s,)|| \le \eta_2$$

ON SOME INTEGRAL EQUATIONS WITH DEVIATING ARGUMENT

for all  $t \in [-T, T]$ ,  $u, v, w \in X$ . Then: (a)For all x, y solutions of (1) with  $x(0) \leq y(0)$  we have  $x(t) \leq y(t)$ , for all  $t \in [-T, T]$ . (b)  $H(S_1, S_2) \leq \frac{\eta_1 + 2\eta_2 T}{(1 - l_1 l - l_2 - \frac{l_3}{\tau})}$ , where  $S_1, S_2$  is the solutions set of(3),(4). **Proof** We denote with  $A_{\lambda}$  the restriction of the operator A at  $X_{\lambda}$ . First we show that  $A_{\lambda}$  is a contraction map on  $X_{\lambda}$ . From  $c_1 - c_5$  we have that

$$\|A_{\lambda}x(t) - A_{\lambda}y(t)\| \le (l_1l + l_2) \|x(t) - y(t)\| + \int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} \|K(t, s, x(s)) - K(t, s, y(s))\| \, dsleq$$

$$\leq (l_1 l + l_2) \| x - y \|_{\tau} e^{\tau(t+T)} + l_3 \| x - y \|_{\tau} \int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} e^{\tau(t+T)} ds$$

So A is c-WPO with

$$c = \frac{1}{1 - l_1 l - l_2 - \frac{l_3}{\tau}}$$

Using the theorem 1.2 we obtain (b).

For proof of (a) let be x,y solutions for (1) with  $x(0) \leq y(0).$  Then  $x \in X_{x(0)}, y \in X_{y(0)}.$  We define

$$\widetilde{x}(t) = x(0), t \in [0, b]$$
$$\widetilde{y}(t) = y(0), t \in [0, b]$$

We have

$$\widetilde{x}(0) \in X_{x(0)}, \widetilde{y}(0) \in X_{y(0)}, \widetilde{x}(0) \le \widetilde{y}(0).$$

From lemma 1.1 we obtain that the operator  $A^{\infty}$  is increasing. It follows that

$$A^{\infty}(\widetilde{x}(0)) \le A^{\infty}(\widetilde{x}(0))$$

i.e  $x \leq y$ 

Next we define  $\varphi$  -contraction notion and use this for estimate distance between two weakly Picard operators.

Let 
$$\varphi: R_+ \longrightarrow R_+$$
.

**Definition 2.1.** [5]  $\varphi$  is a strict comparison function if  $\varphi$  satisfies the following:

i)  $\varphi$  is continuous.

 $ii)\varphi$  is monotone increasing.

*iii*)  $\varphi^n(t) \longrightarrow 0$ , for all t > 0.

iv)  $t - \varphi(t) \longrightarrow \infty$ , for  $t \longrightarrow \infty$ .

Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $f: X \longrightarrow X$  an operator.

**Definition 2.2.** [5] The operator f is called a strict  $\varphi$ -contraction if:

(i)  $\varphi$  is a strict comparison function.

 $(ii)d(f(x), f(y)) \le \varphi(d(x, y)), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$ 

**Theorem 2.2.** [5] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $\varphi : R_+ \longrightarrow R_+$  a strict comparison and  $f, g: X \longrightarrow X$  two orbitally continuous operators. We suppose that:

(i) 
$$d(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq \varphi(d(x, f(x)))$$
 for any  $x \in X$  and

 $d(g(x), g^2(x)) \le \varphi(d(x, g(x)))$  for any  $x \in X$ .

(ii) there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that  $d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \eta$ , for any  $x \in X$ Then:

(a) f,g are weakly Picard operators.

 $(b)H(F_f, F_g) \le \tau_\eta \text{ where } \tau_\eta = \sup\{t \mid t - \varphi(t) \le \eta\}.$ 

**Theorem 2.3.** We suppose that condition  $(c_5)$  is verified and the following conditions are satisfied:

 $(H_1)$  there exists  $\varphi$  a strict comparison function such that

$$(i)||hx(t) - hy(t)|| \le ||x(t) - y(t)||,$$

for all  $x, y \in C([-T, T], X), t \in [-T, T].$ 

 $(ii)g(t, u_1, v_1, w) - g(t, u_2, v_2, w) \| \le a\varphi(\|u_1 - u_2\|) + b\varphi(\|v_1 - v_2\|).$ 

for all  $t \in [-T, T], u_i, v_i, w \in X, i = 1, 2$ 

$$(iii) \|K(t, s, u) - K(t, s, u_1)\| \le l(t, s)\varphi(\|u - u_1\|),$$

ON SOME INTEGRAL EQUATIONS WITH DEVIATING ARGUMENT

for all  $t, s \in [-T, T], u, u_1, \in X$ , where  $l(t, \cdot) \in L^1[-T, T]$ .

 $(H_2)$  There exists  $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$  such that

$$||g_1(t, u, v, w) - g_2(t, u, v, w)|| \le \eta_1,$$

$$||K_1(t,s,u) - K_2(t,s,)|| \le \eta_2$$

for all  $t \in [-T, T], u, v, w \in X$ .

 $(H_3)$ 

$$a+b+\max_{t\in[-T,T]}\int_{-T}^{T}l(t,s)ds\leq 1$$

Then:

(i) the equation (1) has at least solution.

 $(ii)H(S_1, S_2) \le \tau_\eta \text{ where } \eta = \eta_1 + 2T\eta_2, S_1, S_2 \text{ is the solutions set of}(3), (4).$ 

**Proof**Let  $beA_1, A_2 : C([-T, T], X) \longrightarrow C([-T, T], X),$ 

$$A_1 x(t) = g_1(t, hx(t), x(t), x(0)) + \int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} K_1(t, s, x(s)) ds$$
$$A_2 x(t) = g_2(t, hx(t), x(t), x(0)) + \int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} K_2(t, s, x(s)) ds.$$

From

$$\begin{split} \left\| A_{i}x(t) - A_{i}^{2}x(t) \right\| &\leq \left\| g_{i}(t,hx(t),x(t),x(0)) - g_{i}(t,hA_{i}x(t),A_{i}x(t),A_{i}x(0)) \right\| + \\ &+ \int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} \left\| K_{i}(t,s,x(s)) - K_{i}(t,s,A_{i}x(s)) \right\| ds \\ &\leq a\varphi(\left\| hx(t) - hA_{i}x(t) \right\|) + b\varphi(\left\| x(t) - A_{i}x(t) \right\|) + \\ &+ \int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} l(t,s)\varphi(\left\| x(s) - A_{i}x(s) \right) \right\| ds \leq a\varphi(\left\| x(t) - A_{i}x(t) \right\|) + b\varphi(\left\| x(t) - A_{i}x(t) \right\|) + \\ &\int_{-\theta t}^{\theta t} l(t,s)\varphi(\left\| x(s) - A_{i}x(s) \right) \right\| ds \leq (a+b+\max_{t\in[-T,T]} \int_{-T}^{T} l(t,s)ds)\varphi(\left\| x - A_{i}x \right\|_{C}) \leq \\ \end{split}$$

$$\leq \varphi(\|x - A_i x\|_C)$$

we have that

$$\left\|A_i x - A_i^2 x\right\|_C \le \varphi(\|x - A_i x\|_C), i = \overline{1, 2}.$$

Here  $\|\cdot\|_C$  is the Chebyshev norm on C([-T,T],X).

We note that  $||A_1x - A_2x||_C \le \eta_1 + 2T\eta_2$ . From this, using the theorem 2.2 we have the conclusions.

## References

- Muresan, V., On some functional-integral equations with liniar modification of the argument, Seminar on fixed point theory, Cluj-Napoca, No.3, 2002, 297-304.
- [2] Rus, I.A., Generalized contractions, Seminar on fixed point theory, No.3, 1983, 1-130.
- [3] Rus, I.A., Functional-Differential equation of mixed point, via weakly Picard operator, Seminar on mixed point theory Cluj-Napoca, vol. 3, 2002, 335-346.
- [4] Rus, I.A., Weakly Picard operators and applications, Seminar on fixed point theory Cluj-Napoca, vol. 2, 2001, 41-58.
- [5] Rus, I.A., Muresan, S., Data dependence of the fixed points set of weakly Picard operators, Studia Univ. "Babes-Bolyai", Mathematica, Volume XLIII, Number 1, March 1998, 79-83.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY "LUCIAN BLAGA" SIBIU, ROMANIA *E-mail address:* olaruim@yahoo.com