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#### Abstract

In this paper, we will show that in a ring R , there exist maximal subsets of commuting idempotents. On these maximal subsets, one can define Boole lattice structures which induce Boole rings which usually are not subrings of $R$. If $R$ is a Boole ring, we obtain the Stone's Theorem.


Let $V$ be a linear space over the skewfield $K$ and $E n d V$ the set of endomorphisms of $V$.

If $f, g \in E n d V$ then the functions $f+g: V \rightarrow V$ and $g \circ f: V \rightarrow V$ defined by:

$$
(f+g)(x)=f(x)+g(x) \text { and }(f \circ g)(x)=f(g(x))
$$

are endomorphisms of $V$, that is $f+g, f \circ g \in E n d V$.
The set $E n d V$ is a ring with respect to the operations defined above. This ring is not commutative if $\operatorname{dim} V \geq 2$. An endomorphism $f$ of $V$ is called projector of $V$ if $f^{2}=f$.

Starting from the papers of W.J. Gordon [3] and W.J. Gordon and E.W.Cheney [4], F.J. Delvos and W. Schempp are presenting in their book [1] the construction of lattices of projectors from EndV which are commutative. They use these lattices in the approximation and interpolation theory.

In this paper, we will associate Boole lattices to a ring (associative) with the unit $R$. An element $a \in R$ with the property $a^{2}=a$ is called idempotent. Thus, the projectors of $V$ correspond to the idempotent elements of the ring EndV.

If $R$ is a Boole ring, i.e. every element of $a \in R$ is idempotent, then these lattices coincide with the Boole lattice associated to $R$, according to Stone's Theorem which establishes a bijection between Boole lattices and Boole rings. Note that every Boole ring $R$ is commutative and $2 a=0$ for $\forall a \in R$.

Let $I(R)=\left\{a \in R \mid a^{2}=a\right\}, \mathcal{P}(I(R))$ the set of subsets of $I(R)$ and

$$
\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\{X \in \mathcal{P}(I(R)) \mid \forall a, b \in X ; a b=b a\}
$$

Remarks. a) We have $I(R)=R$ if and only if $R$ is a Boole ring. In this case, $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}(R)$ and $R$ is the only maximal element of $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.
b) We have $\{0,1\} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.

Theorem 1. 1) For every $X \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ there exists a maximal element $Y$ in ( $\left.\mathcal{P}^{\prime}, \subseteq\right)$ such that $X \subseteq Y$.
2) If $Y$ is a maximal element of $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ then $0,1 \in Y$.
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Proof. 1) If $\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}$ is a non empty chain from $\mathcal{C}=\left\{X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime} \mid X \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}$ then

$$
\bigcup_{X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}} X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}
$$

Thus, according to Zorn's lemma there exist maximal elements in $\mathcal{C}$.
2) The elements 0 and 1 are idempotent and they commute with every $y \in Y$. So $Y \cup\{0,1\} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ and using the maximality of $Y$ in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ it results that $0,1 \in Y$.
Theorem 2. If $Y$ is a maximal element in $\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}, \subseteq\right)$ then:
i) $Y$ is stable with respect to the multiplication in $R$, i.e.

$$
x, y \in Y \Rightarrow x y \in Y
$$

ii) The relation " $\leq$ " defined on $Y$ by

$$
x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x y=x
$$

is an ordering relation and 0 respectively 1 is the least respectively the greatest element in $(Y, \leq)$.
iii) The ordered set $(Y, \leq)$ is a Boole lattice. In this lattice we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \wedge y=x y, x \vee y=x+y-x y \text { and } x^{\prime}=1-x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \wedge y=\inf (x, y), x \vee y=\sup (x, y)$ and $x^{\prime}$ is the complement of $x$.
Proof. i) From $x, y \in Y$ it results that $x, y$ are idempotents which commute, which implies that

$$
(x y)^{2}=x y x y=x^{2} y^{2}=x y
$$

so $x y$ is idempotent. Since the elements of $Y$ are commuting, it results that for $\forall z \in Y$ we have

$$
(x y) z=x(y z)=x(z y)=(x z) y=(z x) y=z(x y),
$$

so $x y$ commutes with every element of $Y$.
This means that $Y \cup\{x y\} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, which together with the maximality of $Y$ in ( $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}, \subseteq$ ), implies that $x y \in Y$.
ii) Since the elements of $Y$ are idempotents, it results that for $\forall x \in X$ we have

$$
x^{2}=x,
$$

so $x \leq x$. Thus the $" \leq "$ relation is reflexive. If $x, y, z \in Y$ then

$$
x \leq y \text { and } y \leq z \Rightarrow x y=x \text { and } y z=y
$$

Using the fact that $y$ is idempotent we deduce that

$$
(x y)(y z)=x y \Rightarrow x y z=x y \Rightarrow x z=x \Rightarrow x \leq z
$$

So the " $\leq$ " relation is also transitive.
If $x, y \in Y$ then using the fact that the elements of $Y$ are commuting, we have:

$$
x \leq y \text { and } y \leq x \Rightarrow x y=x \text { and } y x=y \Rightarrow x=y
$$

which shows that the relation " $\leq "$ is antisymmetric.
We have proved that " $\leq "$ is an order relation on $Y$. For $\forall x \in Y$, from

$$
0 x=0 \text { and } x 1=x
$$

it results that $0 \leq x$ and $x \leq 1$.
So, 0 and 1 are the least respectively the greatest element in $(Y, \leq)$.
iii) For $\forall x, y \in Y$ we have

$$
(x y) x=x^{2} y=x y,(x y) y=x y^{2}=x y
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
(x+y-x y) x=x^{2}+y x-x y x=x+y x-y x^{2}=x+y x-y x=x \\
(x+y-x y) y=x y+y^{2}-x y^{2}=x y+y-x y=y
\end{gathered}
$$

which shows that $x y \leq x, x y \leq y$ and $x \leq x+y-x y$ and $y \leq x+y-x y$, so $x y$ is a lower bound and $x+y-x y$ is a upper bound of $x$ and $y$.

$$
\text { If } z \in Y \text { then }
$$

$$
z \leq x, z \leq y \Rightarrow z x=z, z y=z \Rightarrow z^{2}(x y)=z^{2} \Rightarrow z(x y)=z \Rightarrow z \leq x y
$$

and

$$
x \leq z, y \leq z \Rightarrow x z=x, y z=y
$$

from which it results

$$
z(x+y-x y)=z x+z y-z x y=x+y-x y
$$

hence $z \leq x+y-x y$.
So $x y$ is the greatest lower bound and $x+y-x y$ is the least upper bound of $x$ and $y$.

So

$$
x \wedge y=x y \text { and } x \vee y=x+y-x y
$$

Thus we have proved that $(Y, \leq)$ is a lattice.
Now we will show that this lattice is also distributive. If $x, y, z \in Y$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \\
\wedge(y \vee z)=x(y+z-y z)=x y+x z-x y z \\
(x \wedge y) \vee(x \wedge z)=(x y) \vee(x z)=x y+x z-x y x z=x y+x z-x y z
\end{gathered}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \wedge(y \vee z)=(x \wedge y) \vee(x \wedge z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we notice that the identity (2) is true in a lattice if and only if the following identity is also true:

$$
x \vee(y \wedge z)=(x \vee y) \wedge(x \vee z)
$$

Thus $(Y, \leq)$ is a distributive lattice having 0 the least element and 1 the greatest element.

For $\forall x \in Y$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \wedge(1-x)=x-x^{2}=x-x=0, \\
x \vee(1-x)=x+1-x-x(1-x)=1-x+x=1
\end{gathered}
$$

which shows that $x^{\prime}=1-x$ is the complement of $x$.
So we proved that $(Y, \leq)$ is a Boole lattice.
Corollary. If $X \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ then the relation " $\leq$ " defined on $X$

$$
x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x y=x
$$

is an order relation.
Theorem 3. If $Y$ is a maximal element in $\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}, \subseteq\right)$ then $\oplus$ defined by

$$
x \oplus y=x+y-2 x y
$$

is an operation on $Y$ and $Y$ is a Boolean ring with respect to $\oplus$ and the multiplication induced by the multiplication in $R$.
Proof. Applying Stone's Theorem to the Boole lattice $(Y, \vee, \wedge)$ it follows that the equalities

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x * y & =\left(x \wedge y^{\prime}\right) \vee\left(x^{\prime} \wedge y\right)  \tag{3}\\
x y & =x \wedge y
\end{array}
$$

are defining operations in $Y$ and $(Y, *, \cdot)$ is a Boole ring.
From (1) and (3) it results :

$$
\begin{aligned}
x * y & =[x(1-y)] \vee[(1-x) y]=x(1-y)+(1-x) y-x(1-y)(1-x) y= \\
& =x-x y+y-x y-x(1-x-y+y x) y= \\
& =x+y-x y-x y-x y+x^{2} y+x y^{2}-x y x y= \\
& =x+y-x y-x y-x y+x y+x y-x y=x+y-2 x y=x \oplus y
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary. a) If $Y$ is a maximal element in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ then the $\operatorname{ring}(Y, \oplus, \cdot)$ is a subring of $R$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x=0 \text { for } \forall x \in Y \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that the ring $(Y, \oplus, \cdot)$ is a subring of $R$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \oplus y=x+y \text { for } \forall x, y \in Y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
(5) \Leftrightarrow 2 x y=0 ; \forall x, y \in Y \Leftrightarrow 2 x=0, \forall x \in Y
$$

The last equivalence takes place because $1 \in Y$.
b) If $Y$ is a maximal element in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ and $R \neq\{0\}$, then the ring $(Y, \oplus, \cdot)$ is a subring of $R$ if and only if the characteristic of $R$ is 2 .

The condition (4) is verified if and only if $2 x=0$ for $x=1 \in R$ which implies that $R$ has the characteristic 2 .
c) Let $K$ be a field of characteristic greater than 2 (in particular, $K$ could be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ ) and $R=E n d V$. In this case, if $Y$ is a maximal element in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, then the ring $(Y, \oplus, \cdot)$ is not a subring of $R$.

We know that if $\alpha \in K$ then the function

$$
t_{\alpha}: V \rightarrow V, t_{\alpha}(x)=\alpha x
$$

is an endomorphism of $V$, i.e. $t_{\alpha} \in E n d V=R$, and $\varphi: K \rightarrow R, \varphi(\alpha)=t_{\alpha}$ is a unitary and injective homomorphism of rings.

So the characteristic of $R$ concides to the characteristic of $K$, so $R$ has a characteristic different from 2.
d) Stone's Theorem. If $(R,+, \cdot)$ is a Boole ring then $R$ is a Boole lattice with respect to the operations " $\vee$ " and " $\wedge$ " defined by

$$
x \vee y=x+y-x y \text { and } x \wedge y=x y
$$

The correspondence $(R,+, \cdot) \longmapsto(R, \vee, \wedge)$ introduces a bijection between the class of Boole rings and the class of Boole lattices.

On the other side, if $(R, \vee, \wedge)$ is a Boole lattice then $R$ is a Boole ring with respect to the operations " + " and "." defined by

$$
x+y=\left(x \wedge y^{\prime}\right) \vee\left(x^{\prime} \wedge y\right) \text { and } x y=x \wedge y
$$

If we denote the above bijection by $\varphi$, we have $\varphi^{-1}(R, \vee, \wedge)=(R,+, \cdot)$

This theorem results from Theorems 2 and 3 and from Corollary a), taking into account that in this case $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}(R)$ and $Y=R$.
Theorem 4. If $R$ is a ring with unit and $\phi \neq X \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, i.e. $X$ is a non empty subset of $R$ composed by idempotents which commute, then the elements of $R$ such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{11} x_{12} \ldots x_{1 n_{1}} \vee x_{21} x_{22} \ldots x_{2 n_{2}} \vee \ldots \vee x_{k 1} x_{k 2} \ldots x_{k n_{k}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i j} \in X\left(i=1, \ldots, k ; j=1, \ldots, n_{k} ; k, n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ are idempotents and commute between them.

The set $\bar{X}$ of elements such as (6) is a distributive lattice with respect to the relation " $\leq "$. This lattice is generated by $X$.
Proof. From Theorem 1 it results that there exists a maximal element $Y \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ such that $X \subseteq Y$ and from Theorem 2 we know that $(Y, \leq)$ is a distributive lattice.

From $X \subseteq Y$ and the fact that $(Y, \leq)$ is a lattice, it results that $\bar{X} \subseteq Y$.
So elements such as (6) are idempotents and commute between them. If $y, z$ are elements such as (6), that is $y, z \in \bar{X}$, then it is obvious that $y \vee z \in \bar{X}$ and from the distributivity of the operation " $\wedge$ " (which coincides with " .") with respect to $" \vee "$, it results that $y z$ is also such as (6).

This means that $\bar{X}$ is a sublattice of $(Y, \leq)$.
From (6) it results that $X \subseteq \bar{X}$. If $Z$ is a sublattice of $Y$ and $X \subseteq Z$, then from (6) it follows that $\bar{X} \subseteq Z$.

Thus we have proved that $\bar{X}$ is the least sublattice of $Y$ which includes $X$.
So $(\bar{X}, \leq)$ is a distributive lattice generated by $X$.
Remarks. Considering $R=E n d V$ in Theorem 4, where $V$ is a linear space over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, we obtain Propositions 6 and 7 , section 1.2 from [1].
Theorem 5. If $R$ is a ring with unit and $\phi \neq X \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ and $X^{c}=\{1-x \mid x \in X\}$ then the elements such as (6) where $x_{i j} \in X \cup X^{c},\left(i=1, \ldots, k ; j=1, \ldots, n_{k} ; k, n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ are idempotents which commute and the set $\overline{\bar{X}}$ of this elements is a Boole lattice generated by $X$.
Proof. If $x, y \in X \cup X^{c}$ then we can easily prove that $x$ and $y$ are idempotents and $x y=y x$. Using Theorem 1 it follows that there exists a maximal element $Y \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ such that $X \cup X^{c} \subseteq Y$.

From Theorem 2 it results that $(Y, \leq)$ is a Boole lattice and from the proof of Theorem 4 it follows that $\overline{\bar{X}}$ is the sublattice of $Y$ generated by $X \cup X^{c}$.

So $\overline{\bar{X}}$ is a distributive lattice. If $x \in X$ then
$x \wedge(1-x)=x-x^{2}=x-x=0$,
$x \vee(1-x)=x+1-x-x(1-x)=1-x+x^{2}=1-x+x=1$
which shows that $0,1 \in \overline{\bar{X}}$.
Using de Morgan's formulas in the Boole lattice $Y$

$$
(y \vee z)^{\prime}=y^{\prime} \wedge z^{\prime} \text { and }(y \wedge z)^{\prime}=y^{\prime} \vee z^{\prime}
$$

for $\forall y, z \in \underline{Y}$, and using the distributiveness, it follows that the complement of an element of $\overline{\bar{X}}$ is also in $\overline{\bar{X}}$.

So $\bar{X}$ is a Boole sublattice of the lattice $Y$.
We have $X \subseteq \overline{\bar{X}}$ and if $Z$ is a Boole sublattice of $Y$ which includes $X$ then $\overline{\bar{X}} \subseteq Z$.

This means that $\overline{\bar{X}}$ is the smallest Boole lattice including $X$ that is $\overline{\bar{X}}$ is generated by $X$.
Remarks. Considering, in Theorem $5, R=E n d V$ where $V$ is a linear space over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ it results the construction of the Boole algebra of projectors, given in section 1.4. of [1].
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