# INTERPOLATING ON SOME NODES OF A GIVEN TRIANGLE 

TEODORA CĂTINAŞ


#### Abstract

We consider the interpolation problem for some data on several nodes of a given triangle. We show that an interpolant may be found by dividing the initial problem into two subproblems, each one with some fewer nodes. The main result is given in Theorem 5 .


## 1. Introduction

We are interested in interpolation on certain nodes on a given triangle, using the generalized Newton algorithm [1], [2]. This algorithm enables us to divide the interpolation problem into two smaller subproblems.

We shall recall first some known results. Denote by $\Pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{s}\right)$ the space of polynomials in $s$ variables and of degree at most $k$ and by $\#(A)$ the cardinal of a set $A$.
Theorem 1. (Gasca-Maeztu) see [2]. Let $N$ be a set of $\frac{1}{2}(k+1)(k+2)$ nodes in $\mathbb{R}^{s}$, where $s \geq 2$. Suppose that there exist the hyperplanes $H_{0}, H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{s}$ such that
a) $N \subset H_{0} \cup H \cup \ldots \cup H_{k}$;
b) $\#\left(N \cap H_{i}\right)=i+1, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k$.

Then arbitrary data on $N$ can be interpolated by elements of $\Pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{s}\right)$.
The previous result generalizes the following theorem of Micchelli:
Theorem 2. see [1]. Interpolation of arbitrary data by an element of $\Pi_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is uniquely possible on a set $N$ of $\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(m+2)$ nodes if there exist $m+1$ lines $L_{0}, L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ whose union contains $N$ and that have the property that each $L_{i}$ contains exactly $i+1$ nodes, $i=0, \ldots, m$.

Next we present the Newton algorithm and its generalization (see [1] and [2]).
Algorithm 3. (The Newton algorithm for univariate polynomial interpolation). Let $g$ be a polynomial that interpolates a function $f$ at the distinct nodes $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and let $h=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right)$. Then for suitable $c, g+$ ch will interpolate $f$ at $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n+1}$, where $x_{n+1}$ is a new node. The algorithm is applied repeatedly, starting with $n=1$. The polynomial $g$ can be of degree $n-1$, but this is not necessarily.
Algorithm 4. (The generalized Newton algorithm). Let $X$ be an arbitrary linear space. Let $g$ be a function (not necessarily a polynomial) that interpolates the given function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at the distinct nodes $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Let $x_{n+1}$ be a new node. We require a function $h$ that takes the value 0 at $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, but has a nonzero value at $x_{n+1}$. For an appropriate value of $c, g+c h$ will interpolate $f$ at $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n+1}$.
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At the next level of generalization, we replace $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ by any set of nodes $N$, which needs not be finite. We assume that $g$ interpolates $f$ on $N$ (in symbols, $g|N=f| N)$. Let $y$ be a new node, $y \notin N$. We require a functional $h$ such that $h \mid N=0$ and $h(y) \neq 0$. We may assume $h(y)=1$. Then $g+f(y) h$ interpolates $f$ on $N \cup\{y\}$.

In a further level of generalization we use $g+r h$ as an interpolant, but permit $r$ to be a function more general than simply a constant. We use the notation

$$
Z=\{x \in X: h(x)=0\}
$$

Consider a set $N$ of nodes, let $g$ interpolate $f$ on $N \cap Z(h)$ and $r$ interpolate $(f-g) / h$ on $N \backslash Z(h)$. Then $g+r h$ interpolates $f$ on $N$.

As pointed out in [1] and [2], this last generalization of the Newton algorithm is successfully applied in Theorem 2.

An immediate conclusion is that this abstract version of the Newton algorithm enables the dividing of an interpolation problem into two smaller subproblems, where smallness refers to the number of interpolation conditions.

## 2. Interpolating on some nodes of a given triangle

Let $f: T_{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function defined on the triangle

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{h}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0 \leq x \leq h, 0 \leq y \leq h, x+y \leq h\right\}, \quad h \in \mathbb{N}^{*} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}\right\}$ be a set of six nodes situated on the edges of the triangle $T_{h}$, where $X_{1}\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right), X_{2}\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right), X_{3}\left(\frac{h}{4}, 0\right), X_{4}\left(\frac{h}{2}, 0\right), X_{5}\left(\frac{3 h}{4}, 0\right), X_{6}\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right)$. We consider the Lagrange interpolation functionals

$$
\Lambda_{L}=\left\{\lambda_{i}(f) \mid \lambda_{i}(f)=f\left(x_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq 6\right\}
$$

The problem we deal with here is to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } r \in \Pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \text { such that } r \text { interpolates } f \text { with regard to } \Lambda_{L} \text {. } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find an answer in the following way. Let $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ denote the lines of the triangle, such that $\left\{X_{6}\right\} \subset L_{0},\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}\right\} \subset L_{1},\left\{X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right\} \subset L_{2}$. The problem here satisfies the hypothesis of the Micchelli's theorem. Therefore, this result assures that there exists an interpolant in $\Pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $f$ with regard to $\Lambda_{L}$ and this interpolant is unique.

Next our purpose is to find this interpolant. For doing this we use the generalized Newton algorithm.

Let $l_{2}$ denote an element of $\Pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ whose zero set is the line $L_{2}$,

$$
Z\left(l_{2}\right)=\left\{(x, y): l_{2}(x, y)=0\right\}=L_{2}
$$

We have $N \cap L_{2}=\left\{X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right\}, l_{2}(x, y)=y$.
Let $p_{2} \in \Pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ interpolate $f$ on $N \cap L_{2}=\left\{X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right\}$. Therefore, $p_{2}$ has the form

$$
p_{2}(x, y)=a_{0} x^{2}+b_{0} x+c_{0}
$$

where $a_{0}, b_{0}$ and $c_{0}$ can be determined from the interpolation conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
p_{2}\left(\frac{h}{4}, 0\right)=f\left(\frac{h}{4}, 0\right)  \tag{3}\\
p_{2}\left(\frac{h}{2}, 0\right)=f\left(\frac{h}{2}, 0\right) \\
p_{2}\left(\frac{3 h}{4}, 0\right)=f\left(\frac{3 h}{4}, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Its expression is

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{2}(x, y) & =\left(\frac{8}{h^{2}} x^{2}-\frac{6}{h} x+1\right) f\left(\frac{3 h}{4}, 0\right)+\left(\frac{8}{h^{2}} x^{2}-\frac{10}{h} x+3\right) f\left(\frac{h}{4}, 0\right) \\
& +\left(-\frac{16}{h^{2}} x^{2}+\frac{16}{h} x-3\right) f\left(\frac{h}{2}, 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $q_{1} \in \Pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ interpolate $\left(f-p_{2}\right) / l_{2}$ on $N \backslash Z\left(l_{2}\right)=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{6}\right\}$. Therefore, $q_{1}$ has the form

$$
q_{1}(x, y)=a_{1} x+b_{1} y+c_{1},
$$

where $a_{1}, b_{1}$ and $c_{1}$ can be determined from the interpolation conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
q_{1}\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right) & =\frac{f\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right)-p_{2}\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right)}{\frac{h}{3}}  \tag{4}\\
q_{1}\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right) & =\frac{f\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right)-p_{2}\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right)}{\frac{2 h}{3}} \\
q_{1}\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right) & =\frac{f\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right)-p_{2}\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right)}{\frac{h}{2}} .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

According to the generalized Newton algorithm we have that $r=p_{2}+l_{2} q_{1}$ interpolates $f$ on $N$. So the interpolation problem is divided into two smaller subproblems, with fewer interpolation conditions. The subproblems involve the determination of $p_{2}$ and $q_{1}$, each regarding three interpolation conditions.

Since $r$ obeys the interpolation conditions we obtain that $r$ solves the interpolation problem on $N$.

The problem becomes easier to solve if we apply twice the generalized Newton algorithm. We have to find an interpolant for $q_{1}$ on the set $M:=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{6}\right\}$. Let $l_{1}$ denote an element of $\Pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ whose zero set is the line $L_{1}$,

$$
Z\left(l_{1}\right)=\left\{(x, y): l_{1}(x, y)=0\right\}=L_{1}
$$

We have $M \cap L_{1}=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}\right\}, l_{1}(x, y)=x$.
Let $p_{1} \in \Pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ interpolate $q_{1}$ on $M \cap L_{1}=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}\right\}$. Therefore, $p_{1}$ has the form

$$
p_{1}(x, y)=a_{2} y+b_{2},
$$

where $a_{2}$ and $b_{2}$ can be determined from the interpolation conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
p_{1}\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right)=q_{1}\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right)  \tag{5}\\
p_{1}\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right)=q_{1}\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By (4), (5) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
p_{1}\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right)=\frac{f\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right)-p_{2}\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right)}{\frac{h}{3}}  \tag{6}\\
p_{1}\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right)=\frac{f\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right)-p_{2}\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right)}{\frac{2 h}{3}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Its expression is

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1}(x, y) & =\frac{3}{2 h}\left(\frac{3}{h} y-1\right) f\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right)+\frac{3}{h}\left(-\frac{3}{h} y+2\right) f\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right) \\
& +\frac{3}{2 h}\left(-\frac{3}{h} y+1\right) p_{2}\left(0, \frac{2 h}{3}\right)+\frac{3}{h}\left(\frac{3}{h} y-2\right) p_{2}\left(0, \frac{h}{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $q_{0} \in \Pi_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ interpolate $\left(q_{1}-p_{1}\right) / l_{1}$ on $M \backslash Z\left(l_{1}\right)=\left\{X_{6}\right\}$. Therefore, $q_{0}$ is constant:

$$
q_{0}=\frac{q_{1}\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right)-p_{1}\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right)}{\frac{h}{2}} .
$$

According to the generalized Newton algorithm we have that $p_{1}+l_{1} q_{0}$ interpolates $q_{1}$ on $M=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{6}\right\}$. So the interpolation problem here involves the determination of $p_{1}$, regarding two interpolation conditions, the initial interpolation problem becoming much easier to solve. The polynomial $p_{1}+l_{1} q_{0}$ verifies the interpolation conditions so it interpolates $q_{1}$ on $M$. We conclude with the following result.
Theorem 5. The initial interpolation problem (2) on $N$ is solved by

$$
r=p_{2}+l_{2} q_{1}=p_{2}+l_{2}\left(p_{1}+l_{1} q_{0}\right)
$$

We shall illustrate the above theory with two practical examples. Consider $h=10$ in (1), $f_{1}: T_{10} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{1}(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}$ and $f_{2}: T_{10} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{2}(x, y)=$ $\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}$. Consider $r_{i}$ the interpolant of $f_{i}, i=1,2$. Figures 1 and 2 display the error $\left|f_{i}(x, y)-r_{i}(x, y)\right|$, plotted in Matlab.

## 3. The generalized Newton algorithm for linear functionals

As pointed out in [2], the generalized Newton algorithm can be applied not only for point-evaluation functionals, but for arbitrary linear functionals. Consider a linear space $E$ and $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}, \ldots$ some linear functionals defined on $E$. Let $f$ be an element of $E$ to be interpolated. We assume that an element $g$ is available in $E$ such that $\Phi_{i}(g)=\Phi_{i}(f)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Next, select $h$ in $E$ so that $\Phi_{i}(h)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\Phi_{n+1}(h)=1$. The new interpolant will be of the form $g+c h$, where $c=\Phi_{n+1}(f)$.

We illustrate this by solving a problem proposed in [2].
Problem 6. Find $p \in \Pi_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $p(0)=3, p^{\prime}(1)=4, \int_{0}^{1} p(x) d x=5$ and $\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} p(x) d x=6$.

Proof. We use the generalized Newton algorithm for linear functionals. We consider the linear functionals defined by: $\Phi_{1}(f)=f(0), \Phi_{2}(f)=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x, \Phi_{3}(f)=$ $\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} f(x) d x, \Phi_{4}(f)=f^{\prime}(1)$, for some given $f$.

We assume that there exists $g$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{i}(g) & =\Phi_{i}(p), \quad i=1,2,3  \tag{7}\\
\Phi_{4}(g) & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Select now $h$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{i}(h)=0, \quad i=1,2,3,  \tag{8}\\
& \Phi_{4}(h)=1 .
\end{align*}
$$

The interpolant of $p$ is $g+c h$, where $c=\Phi_{4}(f)=4$. Therefore we have to find the interpolant of $p, r:=g+4 h$ from $\Pi_{3}(\mathbb{R})$. We do this taking into account (7) and (8). We have $g \in \Pi_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in \Pi_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ so $g$ and $h$ have the following expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(x)=a_{1} x^{3}+b_{1} x^{2}+c_{1} x+d_{1}, \\
& h(x)=a_{2} x^{3}+b_{2} x^{2}+c_{2} x+d_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}, d_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}, c_{2}, d_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and moreover $a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} \neq 0$.
Solving the systems (7) and (8) we obtain the polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(x)=-\frac{984}{5} x^{3}+366 x^{2}-\frac{708}{5} x+3, \\
& h(x)=\frac{6}{5} x^{3}-\frac{3}{2} x^{2}+\frac{2}{5} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the interpolant of $p$ is

$$
r(x)=-192 x^{3}+360 x^{2}-140 x+3 \in \Pi_{3}(\mathbb{R}) .
$$
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