ON A CERTAIN FAMILIES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

M.K. AOUF, H.M. HOSSEN AND A.Y. LASHIN

Abstract. We introduce the subclass $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ of analytic functions with negative coefficients defined by Salagean operators D^n and D^{n+m} . In this paper we give some properties of functions in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ and obtain numerous sharp results including (for example) coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, closure theorems and modified Hadamard products of several functions belonging to the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. We also obtain radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness, and convexity for functions belonging to the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ and consider integral operators associated with functions belonging to the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$.

1. Introduction

Let A(j) denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (j \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}),$$
(1.1)

which are analytic in the unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. For a function f(z) in A(j), we define

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z), (1.2)$$

$$D^{1}f(z) = Df(z) = zf'(z)$$
 (1.3)

and

$$D^{n}f(z) = D(D^{n-1}f(z)) \quad (n \in N).$$
 (1.4)

The differential operator D^n was introduced by Salagean [5]. With the help of the differential operator D^n , we say that a function f(z) belonging to A(j) is in

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. analytic, Salagean operator, modified Hadamard product.

the class $S_i(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\lambda)z(D^{n}f(z))' + \lambda z(D^{n+m}f(z))'}{(1-\lambda)D^{n}f(z) + \lambda D^{n+m}f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (n,m \in N_{0} = N \cup \{0\}) \quad (1.5)$$

for some α ($0 \le \alpha < 1$) and λ ($0 \le \lambda \le 1$), and for all $z \in U$. The operator D^{n+m} was studied by Sekine [7] and Aouf and Salagean [2].

Let T(j) denote the subclass of A(j) consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \ge 0; \ j \in N).$$
 (1.6)

Further, we define the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ by

$$T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha) = S_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha) \cap T(j).$$
(1.7)

We note that by specializing the parameters j, n, m, λ and α , we obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors:

(i) T_j(n, 1, λ, α) = P(j, λ, α, n), T_j(n, m, 0, α) = P(j, α, n) and T_j(n, 1, 1, α) = P(j, α, n + 1) (Aouf and Srivastava [3]);
(ii) T_j(0, 1, λ, α) = P(j, λ, α) (Altintas [1]);
(iii) T_j(0, 0, 0, α) = T_α(j) and T_j(0, 1, 1, α) = T_j(1, 0, 1, α) = C_α(j) (Chatter-

jea [4] and Srivastava et al. [9]);

(v) $T_j(n,m,1,\alpha) = T_j(n,m,\alpha)$, where $T_j(n,m,\alpha)$ represents the class of functions $f(z) \in T(j)$ satisfying the condition

Re
$$\left\{ \frac{z(D^{n+m}f(z))'}{D^{n+m}f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (n,m \in N_0; \ 0 \le \alpha < 1; \ z \in U);$$
 (1.8)

(iv) $T_1(0,0,0,\alpha) = T^*(\alpha)$ and $T_1(0,1,1,\alpha) = T_1(1,0,1,\alpha) = C(\alpha)$ (Silverman [8]).

2. Coefficient estimates and other properties of the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$

Theorem 1. Let the function f(z) be defined by (1.6). Then $f(z) \in T_i(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda] a_k \le 1-\alpha.$$
(2.1)

The result is sharp.

Proof. Assume that the inequality (2.1) holds true. Then we find that

$$\left|\frac{(1-\lambda)z(D^{n}f(z))'+\lambda z(D^{n+m}f(z))'}{(1-\lambda)D^{n}f(z)+\lambda D^{n+m}f(z)}-1\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}k^{n}(k-1)[1+(k^{m}-1)\lambda]a_{k}|z|^{k-1}}{1-\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}k^{n}[1+(k^{m}-1)\lambda]a_{k}|z|^{k-1}} \leq \frac{\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}k^{n}(k-1)[1+(k^{m}-1)\lambda]a_{k}}{1-\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}k^{n}[1+(k^{m}-1)\lambda]a_{k}} \leq 1-\alpha.$$

This show that the values of the function

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{(1-\lambda)z(D^n f(z))' + \lambda z(D^{n+m} f(z))'}{(1-\lambda)D^n f(z) + \lambda D^{n+m} f(z)}$$
(2.2)

lie in a circle which is centered at w = 1 and whose radius is $1 - \alpha$. Hence f(z) satisfies the condition (1.5).

Conversely, assume that the function f(z) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\lambda)z(D^{n}f(z))' + \lambda z(D^{n+m}f(z))'}{(1-\lambda)D^{n}f(z) + \lambda D^{n+m}f(z)} \right\} = \\ = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1-\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^{n+1}[1+(k^{m}-1)\lambda]a_{k}z^{k-1}}{1-\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^{n}[1+(k^{m}-1)\lambda]a_{k}z^{k-1}} \right\} > \alpha,$$
(2.3)

for some α ($0 \le \alpha < 1$), λ ($0 \le \lambda \le 1$), $n, m \in N_0$ and for all $z \in U$. Choose values of z on the real axis so that $\Phi(z)$ given by (2.2) is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (2.3) and letting $z \to 1^-$ through real values, we can see that

$$1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^{n+1} [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda] a_k \ge \alpha \left\{ 1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda] a_k \right\}.$$
 (2.4)

Thus we have the inequality (2.1).

Finally, the function f(z) given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{k^n (k - \alpha) [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda]} z^k \quad (k \ge j + 1; \ j \in N)$$
(2.5)

is an extremal function for the assertion of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.6) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then

$$a_k \le \frac{1-\alpha}{k^n (k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]} \quad (k\ge j+1).$$
 (2.6)

The equality in (2.6) is attained for the function f(z) given by (2.5).

Theorem 2. Let $0 \le \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2 < 1$, $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, $j \in N$ and $n, m \in N_0$. Then

$$T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha_1) \supseteq T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha_2).$$
 (2.7)

Proof. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.6) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha_2)$ and let $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 - \delta$. Then, by Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda] a_k \le 1-\alpha_2$$
(2.8)

and

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda] a_k \le \frac{1 - \alpha_2}{j + 1 - \alpha_2} < 1.$$
(2.9)

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n (k-\alpha_1) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda] a_k = \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n (k-\alpha_2) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda] a_k + \delta \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n [1+(k^m-1)\lambda] a_k \le 1-\alpha_1.$$
(2.10)

This completes the proof of Theorem 2 with the aid of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Let $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $0 \le \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le 1$, $j \in N$ and $n, m \in N_0$. Then

$$T_j(n, m, \lambda_1, \alpha) \supseteq T_j(n, m, \lambda_2, \alpha).$$
 (2.11)

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n (k-\alpha) [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda_1] a_k \le \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n (k-\alpha) [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda_2] a_k \le 1 - \alpha$$

for $f(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda_2, \alpha)$.

Theorem 4. For $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, $j \in N$ and $n, m \in N_0$,

$$T_j(n+1,m,\lambda,\alpha) \subseteq T_j(n,m,\lambda,\alpha). \tag{2.12}$$

 $\mathbf{6}$

ON A CERTAIN FAMILIES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

The proof of Theorem 4 follows also from Theorem 1.

3. Growth and distortion theorems

Theorem 5. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.6) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then for |z| = r < 1,

$$|D^{i}f(z)| \ge r - \frac{1-\alpha}{(j+1)^{n-i}(j+1-\alpha)[1+[(j+1)^{m}-1]\lambda]}r^{j+1}$$
(3.1)

and

$$|D^{i}f(z)| \le r + \frac{1-\alpha}{(j+1)^{n-i}(j+1-\alpha)[1+[(j+1)^{m}-1]\lambda]}r^{j+1}$$
(3.2)

for $z \in U$ and $0 \le i \le n$. The equalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function f(z) given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^n (j+1-\alpha) [1 + [(j+1)^m - 1]\lambda]} z^{j+1} \quad (z = \pm r).$$
(3.3)

Proof. Note that $f(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$D^i f(z) \in T_j(n-i,m,\lambda,\alpha)$$

and that

$$D^{i}f(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^{i}a_{k}z^{k}.$$
(3.4)

By Theorem 1, we know that

$$(j+1)^{n-i}(j+1-\alpha)[1+[(j+1)^m-1]\lambda]\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}k^i a_k \le \le \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}k^n(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]a_k \le 1-\alpha,$$
(3.5)

that is, that

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^{i} a_{k} \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{(j+1)^{n-i}(j+1-\alpha)[1+[(j+1)^{m}-1]\lambda]}.$$
(3.6)

The assertions (3.1) and (3.2) of Theorem 5 would now follow readily from (3.4) and (3.6).

Finally, we note that the equalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function f(z) defined by

$$D^{i}f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^{n-i}(j+1-\alpha)[1 + [(j+1)^{m} - 1]\lambda]} z^{j+1}.$$
 (3.7)

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Corollary 2. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.6) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then, for |z| = r < 1,

$$|f(z)| \ge r - \frac{1-\alpha}{(j+1)^n (j+1-\alpha)[1+[(j+1)^m - 1]\lambda]} r^{j+1}$$
(3.8)

and

$$|f(z)| \le r + \frac{1-\alpha}{(j+1)^n(j+1-\alpha)[1+[(j+1)^m-1]\lambda]}r^{j+1}.$$
(3.9)

The equalities in (3.8) and (3.9) are attained for the function f(z) given by (3.3).

Proof. Taking i = 0 in Theorem 5, we immediately obtain (3.8) and (3.9).

Corollary 3. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.6) be in the class $T_i(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then for |z| = r < 1,

$$|f'(z)| \ge \frac{1-\alpha}{(j+1)^{n-i}(j+1-\alpha)[1+[(j+1)^m-1]\lambda]}r^j$$
(3.10)

and

$$|f'(z)| \le 1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^{n-i}(j+1-\alpha)[1 + [(j+1)^m - 1]\lambda]} r^j \quad (z \in U).$$
(3.11)

The equalities in (3.10) and (3.11) are attained for the function f(z) given by (3.3).

Proof. Setting i = 1 in Theorem 5, and making use of the definition (1.3), we arrive at Corollary 3.

4. Convex linear combinations

In this section, we shall prove that the class $T_j(n,m,\lambda,\alpha)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.

Theorem 6. $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ is a convex set.

Proof. Let the functions

$$f_v(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k,v} z^k \quad (a_{k,v} \ge 0; \ v = 1, 2)$$
(4.1)

be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. It is sufficient to show that the function h(z) defined by

$$h(z) = \mu f_1(z) + (1 - \mu) f_2(z) \quad (0 \le \mu \le 1)$$
(4.2)

is also in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Since, for $0 \le \mu \le 1$,

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} [\mu a_{k,1} + (1-\mu)a_{k,2}]z^k,$$
(4.3)

with the aid of Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n (k-\alpha) [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda] [\mu a_{k,1} + (1-\mu)a_{k,2}] \le 1 - \alpha,$$
(4.4)

which implies that $f(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Hence $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ is a convex set.

Theorem 7. Let

$$f_j(z) = z \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{k^n (k - \alpha) [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda]} z^k \quad (k \ge j + 1; \ n, m \in N_0)$$
(4.6)

for $0 \le \alpha < 1$ and $0 \le \lambda \le 1$. Then f(z) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z), \qquad (4.7)$$

where

$$\mu_k \ge 0 \ (k \ge j) \quad and \quad \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1.$$

$$(4.8)$$

Proof. Assume that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) =$$

= $z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]} \mu_k z^k.$ (4.9)

Then it follows that

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} \cdot \frac{1-\alpha}{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]} \mu_k =$$
$$= \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1 - \mu_j \le 1.$$
(4.10)

So, by Theorem 1, $f(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$.

Conversely, assume that the function f(z) defined by (1.6) belongs to the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then

$$a_k \le \frac{1-\alpha}{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]} \quad (k \ge j+1; \ n,m \in N_0).$$
(4.11)

Setting

$$\mu_k = \frac{k^n (k - \alpha) [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda]}{1 - \alpha} a_k \quad (k \ge j + 1; \ n, m \in N_0)$$
(4.12)

and

$$\mu_j = 1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_k, \tag{4.13}$$

we can see that f(z) can be expressed in the form (4.7). This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

5. Radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness, and convexity

Theorem 8. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.6) be in the class $T_j(n,m,\lambda,\alpha)$. Then f(z) is close-to-convex of order ρ ($0 \le \rho < 1$) in $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_1 = r_1(n, m, \lambda, \alpha, \rho) = \inf_k \left[\frac{(1-\rho)k^{n-1}(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
(5.1)

The result is sharp, the extremal function f(z) begin given by (2.5).

Proof. We must show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| \le 1 - \rho$$
 for $|z| < r_1(n, m, \lambda, \alpha, \rho)$,

where $r_1(n, m, \lambda, \alpha, \rho)$ is given by (5.1). Indeed we find from the definition (1.6) that

$$|f'(z) - 1| \le \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ka_k |z|^{k-1}$$

Thus

$$|f'(z) - 1| \le 1 - \rho$$

if

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{1-\rho}\right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \le 1.$$
 (5.2)

But, by Theorem 1, (5.2) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{k}{1-\rho}\right)|z|^{k-1} \le \frac{k^n(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha},$$

that is, if

$$|z| \le \left[\frac{(1-\rho)k^{n-1}(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha}\right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
 (5.3)

Theorem 8 follows easily from (5.3).

Theorem 9. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.6) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then f(z) is starlike of order ρ ($0 \le \rho < 1$) in $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_{2} = r_{2}(n, m, \lambda, \alpha, \rho) = \inf_{f} \left[\frac{(1-\rho)k^{n}(k-\alpha)[1+(k^{m}-1)\lambda]}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
(5.4)

The result is sharp, with the extremal function f(z) given by (2.5).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right| \le 1 - \rho \text{ for } |z| < r_2(n, m, \lambda, \alpha, \rho),$$

where $r_2(n, m, \lambda, \alpha, \rho)$ is given by (5.4). Indeed we find, again from the definition (1.6), that

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right| \le \frac{\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1}}$$

Thus

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right| \le 1$$

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k-\rho}{1-\rho}\right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \le 1.$$
 (5.5)

 $-\rho$

But, by Theorem 1, (5.5) will be if

$$\left(\frac{k-\rho}{1-\rho}\right)|z|^{k-1} \le \frac{k^n(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha}$$

that is, if

if

$$|z| \le \left[\frac{(1-\rho)k^n(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)}\right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k\ge j+1).$$
(5.6)

Theorem 9 follows easily from (5.6).

M.K. AOUF, H.M. HOSSEN AND A.Y. LASHIN

Corollary 4. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.6) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then f(z) is convex of order ρ ($0 \le \rho < 1$) in $|z| < r_3$, where

$$r_3 = r_3(n, m, \lambda, \alpha, \rho) = \inf_k \left[\frac{(1-\rho)k^{n-1}(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
(5.7)

The result is sharp, with the extremal function f(z) given by (2.5).

6. Modified Hadamard products

Let the functions $f_v(z)$ (v = 1, 2) be defined by (4.1). The modified Hadamard product of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ is defined by

$$f_1 * f_2(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k.$$
(6.1)

Theorem 10. Let each of the functions $f_v(z)$ (v = 1, 2) defined by (4.1) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then

$$f_1 * f_2(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \beta(j, n, m, \lambda, \alpha)),$$

where

$$\beta(j,n,m,\lambda,\alpha) = 1 - \frac{j(1-\alpha)^2}{(j+1)^n(j+1-\alpha)^2[1+\lambda[(j+1)^m-1]] - (1-\alpha)^2}.$$
 (6.2)

 $The \ result \ is \ sharp.$

Proof. Employing the technique used earlier by Schild and Silverman [6], we need to find the largest $\beta = \beta(j, n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ such that

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n (k-\beta) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\beta} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} \le 1.$$
(6.3)

Since

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} a_{k,1} \le 1$$
(6.4)

and

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} a_{k,2} \le 1,$$
(6.5)

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} \sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}} \le 1.$$
(6.6)

ON A CERTAIN FAMILIES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{k^n(k-\beta)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\beta}a_{k,1}a_{k,2} \le \frac{k^n(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha}\sqrt{a_{k,1}a_{k,2}} \ (k\ge j+1),$$
(6.7)

that is, that

$$\sqrt{a_{k,1}a_{k,2}} \le \frac{(k-\alpha)(1-\beta)}{(k-\beta)(1-\alpha)} \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
(6.8)

Note that

$$\sqrt{a_{k,1}a_{k,2}} \le \frac{1-\alpha}{k^n(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]} \quad (k\ge j+1).$$
(6.9)

Consequently, we need only to prove that

$$\frac{1-\alpha}{k^n(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]} \le \frac{(k-\alpha)(1-\beta)}{(k-\beta)(1-\alpha)} \quad (k \ge j+1),$$
(6.10)

or, equivalently, that

$$\beta \le 1 - \frac{(k-1)(1-\alpha)^2}{k^n(k-\alpha)^2 [1+(k^m-1)\lambda] - (1-\alpha)^2} \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
(6.11)

Since

$$A(k) = 1 - \frac{(k-1)(1-\alpha)^2}{k^n(k-\alpha)^2 [1+(k^m-1)\lambda] - (1-\alpha)^2}$$
(6.12)

is an increasing function of k $(k \ge j + 1)$, letting k = j + 1 in (6.12) we obtain

$$\beta \le A(j+1) = \frac{j(1-\alpha)^2}{(j+1)^n (j+1-\alpha)^2 [1+[(j+1)^m - 1]\lambda] - (1-\alpha)^2}, \tag{6.13}$$

which proves the main assertion of Theorem 10.

Finally, by taking the functions

$$f_{v}(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^{n}(j+1-\alpha)[1 + [(j+1)^{m} - 1]\lambda]} z^{j+1} \quad (v = 1, 2),$$
(6.14)

we can see that the result is sharp,

Theorem 11. Let $f_1(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $f_2(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \gamma)$. then

$$f_1 * f_2(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \xi(j, n, m, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma)),$$

where

$$\xi(j,n,m,\lambda,\alpha,\gamma) = (6.15)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{j(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)}{(j+1)^n(j+1-\alpha)(j+1-\gamma)[1+[(j+1)^m-1]\lambda] - (1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)}.$$
13

The result is best possible for the functions

$$f_1(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^n (j+1-\alpha) [1 + [(j+1)^m - 1]\lambda]} z^{j+1}$$
(6.16)

and

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{1 - \gamma}{(j+1)^n (j+1-\gamma) [1 + [(j+1)^m - 1]\lambda]} z^{j+1}.$$
 (6.17)

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 10, we get

$$\xi \le 1 - \frac{(k-1)(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)}{k^n(k-\alpha)(k-\gamma)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda] - (1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)} \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
(6.18)

Since the right hand side of (6.18) is an increasing function of k, setting k = j + 1 in (6.18) we obtain (6.15). This completes the proof of Theorem 11.

Corollary 5. Let the functions $f_v(z)$ defined by

$$f_v(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k,v} z^k \quad (a_{k,v} \ge 0, \ v = 1, 2, 3)$$
(6.19)

be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then

$$f_1 * f_2 * f_3(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \delta(j, n, m, \lambda, \alpha)),$$

where

$$\delta(j,n,m,\lambda,\alpha) = 1 - \frac{j(1-\alpha)^3}{(j+1)^{2n}(j+1-\alpha)^3[1+[(j+1)^m-1]\lambda]^2 - (1-\alpha)^3}.$$
 (6.20)

The result is best possible for the functions

$$f_v(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^n (j+1-\alpha) [1 + [(j+1)^m - 1]\lambda]} z^{j+1} \quad (v = 1, 2, 3).$$
(6.21)

Proof. From Theorem 10, we have

$$f_1 * f_2(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \beta(j, n, m, \lambda, \alpha)),$$

where β is given by (6.2). Now, using Theorem 11, we get

$$f_1 * f_2 * f_3(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \delta(j, n, m, \lambda, \alpha)),$$

where

$$\delta(j, n, m, \lambda, \alpha) = \frac{j(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}{(j+1)^n(j+1-\alpha)(j+1-\beta)[1+[(j+1)^m-1]\lambda] - (1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} = \frac{j(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}{(j+1)^n(j+1-\alpha)(j+1-\beta)[1+[(j+1)^m-1]\lambda]}$$

ON A CERTAIN FAMILIES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

$$= 1 - \frac{j(1-\alpha)^3}{(j+1)^{2n}(j+1-\alpha)^3[1+[(j+1)^m-1]\lambda] - (1-\alpha)^3}.$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 5.

Theorem 12. Let the functions $f_v(z)$ (v = 1, 2) defined by (4.1) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$, then the function

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} (a_{k,1}^2 + a_{k,2}^2) z^k$$
(6.22)

belongs to the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \eta(j, n, m, \lambda, \alpha))$, where

$$\eta(j,n,m,\lambda,\alpha) = 1 - \frac{2j(1-\alpha)^2}{(j+1)^n(j+1-\alpha)^2[1+[(j+1)^m-1]\lambda] - 2(1-\alpha)^2}.$$
 (6.23)

The result is sharp for the functions $f_v(z)$ (v = 1, 2) defined by (6.14).

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} \right]^2 a_{k,1}^2 \le$$
(6.24)
$$\le \left[\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} a_{k,1} \right]^2 \le 1$$

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} \right]^2 a_{k,2}^2 \le$$
(6.25)
$$\le \left[\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} a_{k,2} \right]^2 \le 1.$$

It follows from (6.24) and (6.25) that

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} \right]^2 (a_{k,1}^2 + a_{k,2}^2) \le 1.$$
(6.26)

Therefore, we need to find the largest $\eta = \eta(j, n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$ such that

$$\frac{k^n(k-\eta)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\eta} \le \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{k^n(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} \right]^2 \quad (k \ge j+1), \quad (6.27)$$

that is,

and

$$\eta \le 1 - \frac{2(k-1)(1-\alpha)^2}{(k-\alpha)^2 k^n [1+(k^m-1)\lambda] - 2(1-\alpha)^2} \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
(6.28)

Since

$$B(k) = 1 - \frac{2(k-1)(1-\alpha)^2}{k^n(k-\alpha)^2[1+(k^m-1)\lambda] - 2(1-\alpha)^2}.$$
(6.29)

M.K. AOUF, H.M. HOSSEN AND A.Y. LASHIN

is an increasing function of $k~(k\geq j+1),$ we readily have

$$\eta \le B(j+1) = 1 - \frac{2j(1-\alpha)^2}{(j+1)^n(j+1-\alpha)^2[1+[(j+1)^m-1]\lambda] - 2(1-\alpha)^2}, \quad (6.30)$$

and Theorem 12 follows at once.

7. A family of integral operators

Theorem 13. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.6) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$, and let c be a real number such that c > -1. Then the function F(z) defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (c > -1)$$
(7.1)

also belongs to the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$.

Proof. From the representation (7.1) of F(z), it follows that

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

where

$$b_k = \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right)a_k.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n (k-\alpha) [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda] b_k = \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n (k-\alpha) [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda] \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right) a_k \le \\ \le \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n (k-\alpha) [1 + (k^m - 1)\lambda] a_k \le 1 - \alpha,$$

since $f(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$. Hence, by Theorem 1, $F(z) \in T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$.

Theorem 14. Let the function

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \ge 0, \ j \in N)$$

be in the class $T_j(n, m, \lambda, \alpha)$, and let c be a real number such that c > -1. Then the function f(z) given by (7.1) is univalent in $|z| < R^*$, where

$$R^* = \inf_k \left[\frac{(k-\alpha)k^{n-1}[1+(k^m-1)\lambda](c+1)}{(1-\alpha)(c+k)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
(7.2)

The result is sharp.

Proof. From (7.1), we have

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{1-c}(z^c F(z))'}{c+1} = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1}\right) a_k z^k.$$

In order to obtain the required result, it suffices to show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| < 1$$
 whenever $|z| < R^*$,

where R^* is given by (7.2). Now

$$|f'(z) - 1| \le \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k(c+k)}{c+1} a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus |f'(z) - 1| < 1 if

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k(c+k)}{c+1} a_k |z|^{k-1} < 1.$$
(7.3)

But Theorem 1 confirms that

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n (k-\alpha) [1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha} a_k \le 1.$$
(7.4)

Hence (7.3) will be satisfied if

$$\frac{k(c+k)}{c+1}|z|^{k-1} < \frac{k^n(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda]}{1-\alpha},$$

that is, if

$$|z| < \left[\frac{(k-\alpha)k^{n-1}[1+(k^m-1)\lambda](c+1)}{(1-\alpha)(c+k)}\right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
(7.5)

Therefore, the function f(z) given by (7.1) is univalent in $|z| < R^*$. Sharpness of the result follows if we take

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)(c+k)}{k^n(k-\alpha)[1+(k^m-1)\lambda](c+1)} z^k \quad (k \ge j+1).$$
(7.6)

References

- [1] O. Altantas, On s subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients, Math. Japon. 36(1991), 489-495.
- [2] M.K. Aouf and G.S. Salagean, Prestarlike functions with negative coefficients, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. (to appear).
- [3] M.K. Aouf and H.M. Srivastava, Some families of starlike functions with negative coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 203(1996), 762-790.
 [4] S.K. Chatterjea, On starlike functions, J. Pure Math. 1(1981), 23-26.
- [5] G.S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent function, Complex Analysis Fifth Romanian - Finnish Seminar Bucharest 1981, Proceedings, part 1, lect. Notes in Math. 1013, Springer Verlag 1983, 362-372.

- [6] A. Schild and H. Silverman, Convolutions of univalent function with negative coefficients, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, Sect.A, 29(1975), 99-107.
- T. Sekine, Generalization of certain subclasses of analytic function, Internat. J. Math. Sci. 10(1987), no.4, 725-732.
- [8] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51(1975), 109-116.
- [9] H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa and S.K. Chatterjea, A note on certain classes of starlike functions, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 77(1987), 115-124.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA, MANSOURA, EGYPT *E-mail address*: sinfac@mum.mans.eun.eg